

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,111	05/10/2001	Salman Akram	MICT-0012-D1-US (97-0141)	7172	
	7590 02/19/2004 VER & HU, PC		EXAMINER		
8554 KATY F	REEWAY		CHAMBLISS, ALONZO		
SUITE 100 HOUSTON, 1	FV 77024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110031014,	A //UZ4		2827		
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	- O			
		09/853,111	SALMAN AKRAM				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alonzo Chambliss	2827				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) It, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this cole ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	: mmunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 D</u>	ecember 2003.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 15-22,32,34 and 35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15-22,32, 34, and 35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			• •			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have be u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachma-	t/a)						
Attachmen 1) ☐ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intende	w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/1/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's alleges that the examiner has based the rejection on hindsight reconstruction. This is deemed unpersuasive base on the same rationale the second paragraph in the non-final rejection filed on 10/20/03. Furthermore, the examiner does not have to cite a reference in support of the rejection because **well known in the art** was not relied upon in the rejection. The examiner simply responded to applicant's arguments utilizing as an **example** of what was well known in the art.

In regards to the argument that something can be in contact with something else through an intervening element not making any sense. First, the chips can be either thermally in contact with each other by the encapsulating material or electrically in contact with each other by the lead frame. Second, Figs. 1 and 2 of applicant's instant application shows two chips in contact with one another through an intervening element (i.e. adhesive). Is applicant suggesting that the two chips are directly in contact with each other without an adhesive, which is not shown in the figures. Sota teaches two chips in contact with each other by intervening element (i.e. die pad that includes an adhesive). Therefore, the non-final is maintained and this action it made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 09/853,111

Art Unit: 2827

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

3. Claims 15-22, 32, 34, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 1 02(e) as being clearly anticipated by Sota (U.S. 5,646,829).

With respect to claims 15, 21, and 32, Sota teaches stacking at least two semiconductor dies 1 having substantially the same rectangular dimensions on top of one another by die pad 2 such that one of the dies 1 is mounted on top of the lead frame fingers 3 and the other of the dies 1 is mounted on and in contact (i.e. by way of the die paddle) the die 1 mounted on the lead frame fingers 3 (see Figs. 3b - 3e). Each of the semiconductor dies 1 is wire bonding to the same lead frame fingers 3 (see Figs. 3c -3e).

With respect to Claims 16 and 34, Sota teaches wherein one of the semiconductor dies 1 is mounted back to back on the other of the semiconductor dies 1 (see Figs. 3c-3e).

With respect to Claims 17 and 35, Sota teaches wherein one of the semiconductor dies 1 is adhered to the other of semiconductor dies by an adhesive layer by die bonding agent made of polyimide resin that is heating to form adhesion with the semiconductor dies 1 (see col. 5 lines 5-35).

Application/Control Number: 09/853,111

Art Unit: 2827

With respect to Claim 18, Sota teaches wherein a first semiconductor die has a lead-on-chip configuration (see Figs. 3b- 3e).

With respect to Claim 19, Sota teaches wherein one of the dies 1 is secured to the lead frame and the other of the dies is secured to the die 1 by die pad 2 so that the die is secured to the lead frame (see Figs. 3b - 3e).

With respect to Claim 20, Sota teaches wire bonding the semiconductor dies 1 to the lead frame, wherein the dies 1 have facing sides and outwardly facing sides by extending wire to bond pads on the outwardly facing sides of the dies 1 (see Figs. 3c- 3e).

With respect to Claim 22, Sota teaches encapsulating the semiconductor dies 1 and the lead frame in a single package body 7 (see Figs. 3d and 3e).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is cited primarily to show the process of the instant invention.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (703) 306-9143. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722 or 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956

Application/Control Number: 09/853,111

Art Unit: 2827

Page 5

AC/February 7, 2004

Alonzo Chambliss Patent Examiner Art Unit 2827